Evaluation methods and objectives for neuromuscular and hemodynamic responses subsequent to different rest intervals in resistance training: a systematic review
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Summary

Introduction: The training routine planned, and performed correctly results in exercises that, systematically organized, influence the levels of strength, and muscle hypertrophy. However, the magnitudes of these gains vary considerably. To optimize these gains, it is important to understand, and the interaction between training variables such as external load, volume, number of exercises, number of repetitions, duration of repetitions, the order of exercises, number of series, recovery interval between series, and the exercises, as well as the time under tension. The influence of the recovery interval on the response following exercise on neuromuscular components is very important. However, different objectives, and instruments are used to evaluate these responses.

Objective: The purpose of this study is to conduct a systematic review of the assessment methods, and objectives for responses after different recovery intervals in strength training. METHODS: The present study is characterized by a systematic review study. Articles found in the following databases were considered for the systematic review: Scopus, PubMed / MEDLINE, Web of Science, Cochrane Library. The following descriptors, and their respective synonyms according to the terms MeSH were used in the databases, both singular, and plural: “Resistance Training”, “Rest Interval”, and “Bench Press”. As filters were used: a) species (humans), and type of study (original).

Results: Seven studies were analyzed that met the established criteria.

Conclusion: The studies presented have verified the influence of different recovery intervals on muscle, and hemodynamic responses. Evaluating image measurements such as ultrasound, and resonance, blood measurements such as GH, Testosterone, IGF-1, and Lactate, number of repetitions for performance, and fatigue, as well as heart rate, and blood pressure.

Métodos de evaluación y objetivos para las respuestas neuromusculares y hemodinámicas posteriores a diferentes intervalos de descanso en el entrenamiento de resistencia: una revisión sistemática

Resumen

Introducción: La rutina de entrenamiento planificada y realizada correctamente da como resultado ejercicios que, organizados sistemáticamente, influyen en los niveles de fuerza e hipertrofia muscular. Sin embargo, las magnitudes de estas ganancias varían considerablemente. Para optimizar estas ganancias, es importante comprender la interacción entre las variables de entrenamiento como la carga externa, el volumen, el número de ejercicios, el número de repeticiones, la duración de las repeticiones, el orden de los ejercicios, el número de series, el intervalo de recuperación entre series y los ejercicios, así como el tiempo bajo tensión. La influencia del intervalo de recuperación en la respuesta después del ejercicio en los componentes neuromusculares es muy importante. Sin embargo, se utilizan diferentes objetivos e instrumentos para evaluar estas respuestas.

Objetivo: El propósito de este estudio es realizar una revisión sistemática de los métodos y objetivos de evaluación para las respuestas posteriores a diferentes intervalos de recuperación en el entrenamiento de fuerza. MÉTODOS: El presente estudio se caracteriza por un estudio de revisión sistemática. Los artículos encontrados en las siguientes bases de datos se consideraron para la revisión sistemática: Scopus, PubMed / MEDLINE, Web of Science, Cochrane Library. Los siguientes descriptoris, y sus respectivos sinónimos según los términos MeSH se utilizaron en las bases de datos, tanto en singular como en plural: “Entrenamiento de resistencia”, “Intervalo de descanso” y “Bench Press”. Como filtros se utilizaron: a) especies (humanos), y tipo de estudio (original).

Resultados: Se analizaron siete estudios que cumplieron con los criterios establecidos.

Conclusión: Los estudios presentados han verificado la influencia de diferentes intervalos de recuperación en las respuestas neuromusculares y hemodinámicas. Evaluación de mediciones de imagen como ultrasónico y resonancia, mediciones de sangre como GH, Testostera, IGF-1 y lactato, número de repeticiones para el rendimiento y la fatiga, así como la frecuencia cardíaca y la presión arterial.
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Introduction

Resistance training has usually applied to overload the musculoskeletal system. This type of training is established as an effective method for the development of musculoskeletal fitness, and is recommended to improve health, and performance. The planned, and properly executed training routine results in exercises that, systematically organized, influence muscle strength, and hypertrophy levels. However, the magnitudes of these gains vary considerably.

To improve these gains, it is important to understand the interaction between training variables such as external load, volume, number of exercises, number of repetitions, repetition duration, exercise order, number of series, the interval of recovery between series, and exercises, as well as tense time. Thus, the understanding, anding of definitions, principles, and methods for the prescription of a strength training routine is necessary for a safe, and efficient prescription.

An important variable explored during training is the rest interval time (RI) between the series. The RI can be defined as the recovery period between exercise series during a training session. Intervals can be set in short (up to 1 minute), medium (1 to 3 minutes), and long (more than 3 minutes). Through it, one can manipulate the stress exerted in the musculature by the adequacy or maintenance of the intensity, and volume of training.

According to the data included in a recent systematic review, when the goal of training is strength gains, long RI should be prioritized thus allowing the maintenance of the number of repetitions. However, to optimize muscle hypertrophy gains, short RI is suggested to promote increased metabolic stress, and possible anabolism.

In addition to the muscle system, the prescription of strength training exercises also influences the responses of other systems of the human body. Different RI between series promotes different influences on cardiovascular, endocrine, and neural systems. The variation in heart rate, blood pressure, the varying curves of specific hormone, and enzyme levels, and the behavior of the spread of action potential are some examples.

Some instruments, and methods are used to evaluate these parameters. Blood collections, imaging tests such as thermography, and ultrasound, electromyography, dual Energy radiological absorptiometry (DXA) are examples of specific evaluations on biological response. Moreover, the maintenance of the number of repetitions performed also represents an important response to the exercises.

The influence of these RI on the response following the exercise of neuromuscular, hemodynamic, and biochemical components is very important. However, as exposed, different objectives, and instrumentations are used to evaluate these responses. Thus, the present study aims to conduct a literature review aimed at identifying, and analyzing the objectives, and evaluation methods for the responses after different recovery intervals in resistance training.

Material and method

This study is in line with the American College of Sports Medicine policies regarding animal, and human experimentation.
information. Thus, no studies were observed, and there were no women exclusively in their samples. Considering that this population has specific characteristics, such as hormonal ones, for example\cite{21}, the information on the influence of the recovery interval on bodybuilding exercises on biological responses is an important gap in the literature.

In addition, only one investigation observed the influence of different recovery intervals on strength in the elderly. In his study, Villanueva et al.\cite{14} verified the effect of 1, and 4 minutes of recovery intervals on body composition, and muscle, and functional performance in 22 elderly men. A 12-week intervention was performed with a program that contained seven strength training exercises, including exercises for upper, and lower limbs. X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) was used to assess body composition. The Star excursion balance, and Margarida power tests checked the performance of the functional control variables of the movement in addition to the 1RM Test to assess muscle strength. The results for this population differ from those performed in young people, when they found that 8 weeks of high-intensity strength TR periodized with short IR induces significantly greater improvements in body composition, muscle performance, and functional performance, compared to the same prescription of RT with higher IR.

From the above, it is understood that for the elderly, a short IR may be sufficient for muscle strength gains. However, for trained young people, most studies have concluded that individuals need a longer-term IR to maximize gains in muscle strength\cite{9}. However, these results are still controversial, as considerable gains in muscle strength can be achieved with a short-term interval. A great example is the study by Bottaro et al.\cite{22} that verified similar muscle strength gains when comparing short intervals with longer intervals.

To make up the protocols of the studies evaluated in this review, the researchers chose to use percentages of the maximum load, thus making the execution of the exercises at submaximal intensities. Of the

Table 1. Authors, sample, and protocols used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Protocol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Villanueva et al.\cite{14}</td>
<td>22 men</td>
<td>2/4 sets/exercise, 8-15 repetitions of 7 exercises for 3 days/week for 12 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 RI protocols: 1 and 4 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fink et al.\cite{15}</td>
<td>14 men</td>
<td>4 sets of bench press followed by 4 sets of 40% 1RM squats with 1 sec in concentric phases, and 2 sec in eccentric phases for 8 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 RI protocols: 30s (n=7), and 150s (n=7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davó et al.\cite{16}</td>
<td>31 students (18 men, and 13 women)</td>
<td>5 sets of 8 repetitions on bench press straight with 40% of 1RM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 RI protocols: 1, 2, and 3 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Salles et al.\cite{17}</td>
<td>27 people divided into 2 groups: G1 - exercises for lower limbs, and G2 - exercises for upper limbs.</td>
<td>3 sets with 75% of 1RM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 RI protocols: 2 min, and self-suggested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schoenfeld et al.\cite{18}</td>
<td>21 men</td>
<td>3 sets of 8 to 12 RM of 7 different exercises per session, 3 times/week for 8 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 RI protocols: 1 and 3 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figueiredo et al.\cite{19}</td>
<td>11 prehypertensive men</td>
<td>3 sets of 8 to 10 repetitions with 70% of 1RM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 RI protocols: 1 and 2 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monteiro et al.\cite{20}</td>
<td>28 participants: 12 women, and 16 men</td>
<td>4 sets with 100% 10RM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 RI protocols: 1) Bench press followed by Leg Press with 3 minutes of RI; 2) Leg Press followed by a bench press with 3 minutes of RI; 3) Straight bench press followed by leg press without RI; 4) Leg Press followed by Straight Bench Press without RI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Flowchart of selection of articles.
Table 2. Objectives, variables, and methods used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measured variables</th>
<th>Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Villanueva et al.14     | Check the effects of RI on body composition, and muscle performance       | 1. Body composition  
2. Functional Performance  
3. Muscle Performance     | 1. X-ray absorptiometry (DXA)  
2. Star excursion balance test, Margaria power test, 400-m walk |
| Fink et al.15           | Check different RI in acute, and chronic hormonal responses in hypertrophy, and strength gains. | 1. GH, T, IGF-1 were verified before (B), immediately after (P0), 15 min after (P15), 30 min after (P30), and 60 min after (P60) TF sessions.  
2. Total volume of training performed in the 4 sets  
3. Muscle cross-sectional area before the start of the TF program, and in the last week after the last training session (week 9)  
4. Muscle strength tests were performed during the week, before, and after the training period. | 1. Precubital Blood Samples  
2. Total number of repetitions  
3. Magnetic resonance  
4. 1RM Test |
| Davó et al.16           | To verify the influence of different RI between the series on the output power performance, and the physiological, and perceptual variables. | 1. Average power, and peak power  
2. Lactate concentration was collected 1 minute before, and after each protocol.  
3. Perceived effort after training session  
4. Late muscle pain was reported 24, and 48 hours after the training session. | 1. T-Force System  
2. Ear lobe blood samples  
3. Borg Scale (CR-10)  
4. The subjects were asked: “How painful are the muscles?” Subjective feeling on a scale of 0 to 10 (0 = no pain; 10 = much pain) |
| De Salles et al.17      | Check the effects of fixed RI compared to self-suggested                  | Exercise Performance                                                               | Number of repetitions                             |
| Schoenfeld et al.18     | Check the effects of different RI                                         | 1. Muscle strength  
2. Muscle endurance  
3. Muscle thickness | 1. 1RM test  
2. 50% from 1RM to failure  
3. Ultrasonography |
| Figueiredo et al.19     | To compare the effects of different RI between sets, and exercises on hemodynamic variables. | 1. Systolic Blood Pressure  
2. Heart Rate | 1. Automatic oscillometric device  
2. Heart Rate Monitor |
| Monteiro et al.20       | Check the influence of exercise order, and RI for an alternating TF sequence of bench press (BP), and leg press (LP) exercises. | Neurmuscular Fatigue Resistance - Fatigue Index (FI) | Number of repetitions completed using the equation proposed by Dipla et al. (2009) |

seven studies analyzed, two used in their protocols the maximum value of overload verified in the preliminary tests also in their experimental protocols. Just like Villanueva et al.14, Fink et al.15 also used the 1RM test to assess strength gains. However, Fink et al.16 also used blood measurements collected in the antecubital fossa to analyze GH, Testosterone, and IGF-1 levels. Magnetic resonance imaging to evaluate the cross-section area completed the measurements applied by Fink et al.15 to compare the effects of 30, and 150s of recovery interval between 4 sets to 40% of 1RM in 14 men. Load intensity is an important variable for strength training because it influences muscle responses15. However, in conclusion, the results of Fink et al.15 suggest that acute hormonal responses, as well as chronic changes in hypertrophy, and muscle strength in low load training to failure, are independent of the duration of the rest interval.

Fink et al.15, blood measurements also served as a parameter for Davó et al.16 evaluate the influence of different recovery intervals in the performance of 5 sets of the supine exercise straight to 40% of 1RM in 31 participants. However, unlike Fink et al.15, the authors verified lactate levels, coming from blood collections in the earlobe. The T-Force dynamic strength measurement system was used to evaluate muscle strength. The perception of subjective exertion, and late muscle pain were also verified, respectively, through the Borg scale (CR-10), and the specific question “How sore are your muscles”. The results suggest that an IR of 2 or 3 minutes is required for mechanical, and physiological recovery, however, there may be little difference between the rest intervals of 2, and 3 minutes.

Schoenfeld et al.18 then used 1, and 3 minutes of recovery interval for 3 sets of 8-12RM to verify muscle parameters in 21 men. However, the authors differed when using ultrasonography as an instrument. Muscle resistance was also verified through the number of repetitions performed with 50% of 1RM up to concentric failure. Schoenfeld et al.18 applied the 1RM test to verify muscle strength approaching this time to the study by Fink et al.15, and that conducted by Villanueva et al.14. Thus, the 1 RM test is widely used in the studies, although in practice it is ineffective because it does not represent the reality of the prescription of ST exercises15.
An alternative to check the accumulated volume of the training is the count of the number of repetitions. This method was used by De Salles et al. who observed the influence of the recovery interval in 27 men. The study by De Salles et al. unlike demias, used a different strategy for the recovery interval. It compared the set interval of 2 minutes with the self-suggested by the participants. The results showed no significant differences in the number of repetitions between 2 min, and with the self-suggested interval, and that the self-suggested IR group spent on average less time recovering than the group with IR fixed in 2 min. The authors suggest that for trained individuals, the self-suggested method may be an effective option. In addition, the suggested auto IR can reduce the total duration of the training session, which can be an interesting strategy.

Monteiro et al. also used the number of repetitions to calculate the muscle fatigue index in 28 participants divided into 4 recovery protocols. However, this was the only study verified in this review that aimed to evaluate the influence of different recovery intervals that included both sexes. Twelve women, and 16 men, both trained, performed four sets with 100% load of 1RM until the concentric failure in order to complete the maximum number of repetitions with different recovery intervals between the straight supine, and squat exercises.

Figueiredo et al. were the only researchers found in the present review, who verified the influence of different recovery intervals on hemodynamic variables. 1, and 2 minutes of interval were applied to 3 sets of 8-10 repetitions with 70% of 1RM. Through an automatic oscillometric device, and a monitor of its own, and specific measurements of heart rate, and blood pressure were obtained. The authors found that 1 or 2 minutes of rest between sets, and exercises can reduce blood pressure after training sessions. However, resting 1 minute between sets, and exercises were associated with increased cardiac stress, and, therefore, this may require the prescription of longer rest intervals between sets, and exercises when working with individuals who have been diagnosed with cardiovascular dysfunction.

Conclusion

The studies presented verified the effect of different rest intervals on muscle, and hemodynamic responses. Imaging measurements such as ultrasound, and resonance, blood measurements such as GH, Testosterone, IGF-1, and Lactate, repetition numbers for performance, and fatigue, as well as heart rate, and blood pressure were observed. However, according to this systematic review, articles in the literature need to analyze the association of these measures, demonstrating how these variables behave together. Moreover, neuromuscular behavior is a variable that includes muscle, and neural parameters, reflecting both muscle activation, and the fatigue process, and electromyo graphy is an appropriate instrumentation for this purpose. In addition, time under tension (TUT) is an important variable for the evaluation of the volume, and intensity of the training, which is not verified in any study of the present systematic review.

Given the above, studies that associate muscle, blood, and hemodynamic measurements, as well as those using electromyography as instrumentation, and TUT as a variable, are suggested.
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